THE OMNI®GROUP



and Non-profit Employers

Omni Advisory Committee General Rules, Evaluations and Test for Responsiveness

Note: Please keep in mind, this is a confidential process. The Consultant, Barbara Healy, CFP, TGPC, CFS is the only person allowed to have contact with the responders' once the responses are submitted. All responders will be notified in writing by the Consultant after the selection is made and approved by the Omni Advisory Committee.

- Step 1 Evaluate the Minimum Qualifications of each responder using Matrix attached.
- Step 2 Evaluation of each responder by the Narratives evaluation package form in detail to arrive at a score for each item on the Evaluation Matrix.
- Step 3 Transfer the Scores from the Narratives evaluation package to an individual scoring matrix for each Responder.
- Step 4 Transfer the individual scores to a master spreadsheet to be shared with the Omni Advisory Committee

A. RFI Scoring

The Consultant shall score the proposals based upon the factors outlined in the RFI, and further detailed in the evaluation package. The Consultant shall submit scores to the Omni Advisory Committee on a summary spreadsheet.

B. Clarifications; Discussions with Proposers

Oral or written discussions may be held with Responders. Oral discussions took the form of conference calls or email communications.

C. Competitive Range

Based on the composite scores determined by the Advisory Committee, a Competitive

Range may be established. The number of responders to be included in the Competitive

Range will be determined solely at the discretion of the Advisory Committee and the Omni Group with consultation with the Consultant that did the evaluations.

D. Notification

All Responders will be notified in writing by the Consultant and the Omni Group. Responders selected for the competitive range will be sent instructions regarding signing the amendment for the Omni ISA and must agree to its terms and return a signed copy.

Responders not selected for inclusion within the competitive range will be notified in writing once a determination is finalized.



As the Omni Advisory Committee's consultant, all RFI responses were evaluated first to determine compliance to the minimum qualifications requirements using the criteria listed below. The RFI was considered compliant when ALL elements of the minimum qualification requirements are met. The Omni Advisory Committee Consultant documented page reference and specified the actual experience in Contractor's documentation where requirement was determine to be met. The consultant also documented the page reference and specified which requirement, if any were not met. In addition, on all "No" responses, the consultant provided specific justification comments. After the completion of the evaluation, the consultant certified overall compliance. Please note that these comments may be shared with Proposer during debriefing sessions, if applicable.

OMNI Group Advisory Committee Consultant Barbara Healy

PROPOSER'S NAME	YES	OR	١	NO		
CRITERIA (List the minimum req	uireme	nts be	elo	ow)	YES	NO
1. The firm shall at a minimum have at least five (5 experience in the 403(b) plan administration marks	, •		itinu	uous		
2. The key individuals providing services to the 40 five (5) years of experience servicing 403(b)s in so			ıll h	ave at least		
3. The firm must have provided 403(b) products, e capabilities to at least ten (10) school d		-				

4. The firm must have a minimum of five (5) years of providing 403(b) products, education and administrative services to school districts and/or Agencies. Yes				
5. Willing	to offset fees to the districts			
Proposer HAS successfully met the Minimum Qualification Requirements.				
Proposer HAS NOT successfully met the Minimum Qualification Requirements.				
Barbara a. Heely				
Barbara Healy Date 9-10-11				

Evaluation Matrix	RFI Responder:	THE OMNI®GROUP	
		4330 Retrement Servings Flan Administration and Command Moni-graft Englishyms	

CATEOORY	IMPORTANCE	DROVIDED VALUE	TOTAL BOINTS
CATEGORY	IMPORTANCE WEIGHT (MUST ADD UP TO 100)	PROVIDER VALUE (0-50 points)	TOTAL POINTS
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Qualifications and Experience of Firm	20%		
	Qualifications	6.66	
	Experience	6.66	
	Technology	6.66	
		Subtotal	20.00
Qualifications and Experience of Personnel	15%		
	Project Manager	5	
	Experience of team	5	
	Training	5	
		Subtotal	15.00
Work Plan/Project	15%		
	Go Live October 1, 2011	5	
	Data Management	5	
	Data Security'	5	
		Subtotal	15.00
Administrative Fee Offset	50%		
	Admin Fee Offset and signed ISA Amendment	50	
		Subtotal	50.00
Total Scoring		100	100.00



Score Justification "Narrative" Worksheet

RFI Title: Preferred Provider

Proposer:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Reference Notes For Justification
A. Qualifications and Experience of Firm (20 Points Maximum)	Note: All raters must complete this narrative section (per proposal) in detail to support all scores assigned. In addition, strengths and weakness shall be clearly identified. Please attach additional sheets if necessary
Does the firm have the qualifications and experience in providing the requested services as delineated in the RFI? (6.66 points max)	

2. Does the firm have the experience in providing asset management, employee communication, governmental defined contribution and deferred compensation data management and compliance management to large and small school district clients? (6.66 points max)	
3. Does the firm have the experience and technology in data management system and web portals? (6.66 points max)	
B. Qualifications and Experience of Key Personnel (15 Points Maximum)	Note: All raters must complete this narrative section (per proposal) in detail to support all scores assigned. In addition, strengths and weakness shall be clearly identified. Please attach additional sheets if necessary

1. Does the proposed Project Manager have the expertise specifically related to the requirements of the RFI to manage the project and proposed team? Does the Project Manager have prior implementation experience with similar projects as described in RFI? (5 points max)	
2. Does the proposed team have specific areas of responsibilities in providing 403(b) investments, employee communication, governmental 403(b) defined contribution plans data management and compliance management to large and small school district clients? (5 points max)	
3. Do the key personnel have the training, experience, and qualifications in providing the 403(b) services to the District? (5 points max)	

C. Work Plan/Project Approach (15 Points Maximum)	Note: All raters must complete this narrative section (per proposal) in detail to support all scores assigned. In addition, strengths and weakness shall be clearly identified. Please attach additional sheets if necessary
Does the work plan/implementation plan for 403(b) Preferred Provider Administration demonstrate a solid technical understanding of the requirements? Does the firm have good customer service? (5 points max)	
2. Does the firm have a data management plan that meets our requirements? Does the quality assurance plan for processing and updating records prevents errors? (5 points max)	
3. Does the firm have a data security plan for keeping and maintaining records? (5 points max)	

D. Administrative Fees (50 Points Maximum)	Note: All raters must complete this narrative section (per proposal) in detail to support all scores assigned. In addition, strengths and weakness shall be clearly identified. Please attach additional sheets if necessary
Proposer's administrative fee structure shall be evaluated based upon overall best value to the District's plan participants. Overall best value shall be based on reasonableness, standard industry rates, and District historical experience. It will include offsetting the Omni TPA fees in total for a fee. Maximum points 50	
Evaluator's Name and Signature Barbara Healy Barbara a. Herly	
	Date 9-10-11